

# Citation & Grounding Potential



Measures how likely an AI system is to cite, attribute, and ground a content unit when generating an answer — and whether content builds cumulative semantic authority over time.

Weight: 0.15 in RASA composite

## WHAT IT MEASURES

Generative search engines cite sources alongside generated answers — but only content with clear authorship, verifiable identifiers, and structured metadata. CGP measures whether a content unit carries the attribution signals AI systems need to name it as a source, not just use its information silently.

## GOVERNS

- AI Citation & Attribution
- Knowledge Graph Grounding
- Semantic Authority Building
- Cross-Platform Corroboration

## 4 STRUCTURAL FACTORS THAT DRIVE CGP SCORES

F-01

### Explicit Authorship

Full author names and the legal organisation name on every content asset. "Amit Verma, Nebula Personalization Tech Solutions Pvt. Ltd." is citable. "Our research team" is not — it provides no resolvable personal entity for AI attribution.

F-03

### Structured Schema Markup

Schema.org TechArticle or ScholarlyArticle with author, publisher, and identifier fields. Schema markup provides machine-readable attribution data that does not depend on natural language parsing — more reliable than prose attribution alone.

F-02

### Stable Identifiers

DOIs, arXiv IDs, ISBNs, or canonical URLs as machine-resolvable attribution anchors. Stable identifiers allow AI systems to cross-reference content against known publication records and increase attribution confidence significantly.

F-04

### Cross-Platform Corroboration

Consistent attribution across Zenodo, GitHub, HuggingFace, and Ollama Hub. Multi-platform presence signals to AI systems that the attribution is stable and verified across independent surfaces — not a single-domain claim.

## COMMON FAILURE MODES

- F1 Weak Schema Structure**  
Attribution in prose but not in structured metadata. AI parsing of natural language is less reliable than reading a structured schema field — prose alone is insufficient.
- F2 Anonymous Org Voice**  
Content by "the team" or "our researchers" provides an org entity but no personal entity. AI citation systems are more confident attributing to named, resolvable individuals.
- F3 Unstable or Dynamic URLs**  
Session-dependent or paginated URLs change over time. AI systems encountering the same content at different URLs cannot confirm it's the same source — reducing attribution confidence.

## CGP SCORE REFERENCE SCALE



SEMANTIC AUTHORITY –  
COMPOUNDING EFFECT

9-10

EXCEPTIONAL

"Verma, A. & Agarwal, S. (2026). RASA for AI-Native Search. Nebula Personalization Tech Solutions Pvt. Ltd. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20325460."

7-8

STRONG

"Amit Verma and Sarita Agarwal at Nebula Personalization Tech Solutions developed the RASA framework. Full details at [nebulatech.in/research](https://nebulatech.in/research)."

5-6

MODERATE

"NebulaTech published research on retrieval-aware semantic architectures. The framework is available for review on their website."

3-4

WEAK

"A research team published findings on AI content scoring. The methodology is described in their internal documentation."

1-2

NOT CITABLE

"Research shows AI content scoring improves retrieval. Studies confirm the approach works well across different content types."

CGP is the dimension that **compounds most over time**. Each high-CGP asset adds an attribution data point to AI systems' understanding of your organisation. Consistently high CGP across a corpus builds cumulative citation authority that structurally advantages the organisation in AI-mediated environments for years.

CGP IMPROVEMENT CHECKLIST

- Name individual authors — full names, not team labels or initials
- Add full legal entity name alongside every author reference
- Assign a DOI, arXiv ID, or canonical URL to every content asset
- Implement Schema.org TechArticle with author, publisher, identifier
- Publish consistent attribution on Zenodo, GitHub, HuggingFace, Ollama
- Declare canonical URLs and maintain permanent redirects for any changes

RASA COMPOSITE FORMULA

$$\begin{aligned}
 &RP \times 0.25 + SCC \times 0.20 \\
 &+ ECS \times 0.20 + SCI \times 0.20 \\
 &+ \mathbf{CGP \times 0.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

PUBLISH    8.0 Composite  
 REVISE    6.0 - 7.9  
 REJECT    5.0 Composite

DD1

Retrieval Probability

DD2

Semantic Chunk Coherence

DD3

Entity Clarity Score

DD4

Synthesis Compatibility Index

DD5 · ACTIVE

Citation & Grounding Potential